



The Open Urology & Nephrology Journal

Content list available at: www.benthamopen.com/TOUNJ/

DOI: 10.2174/1874303X01811010087, 2018, 11, 87-99



RESEARCH ARTICLE

New Insights into the Pathogenesis of Intradialytic Hypertension

Joelle Hajal¹, Youakim Saliba¹, Najat Joubran², Ghassan Sleilaty³, Dima Chacra³, Shafika Assaad⁴, Dania Chelala^{3,#} and Nassim Farès^{1,*,#}

¹Physiology and Pathophysiology Research Laboratory, Pole of Technology and Health, Faculty of Medicine, Saint Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon

²Faculty of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Saint Georges Hospital, Balamand University, Beirut, Lebanon

³Faculty of Medicine, CHU Hotel Dieu de France Hospital, Saint Joseph University, Beirut, Lebanon

⁴Faculty of Sciences, section 2, Lebanese University, Beirut, Lebanon

Received: August 22, 2018

Revised: November 28, 2018

Accepted: December 6, 2018

Abstract:

Background:

Intradialytic hypertension is identified as an independent predictor of adverse clinical outcome in hemodialysis patients. Little is known about its pathophysiological mechanism.

Objective:

The aim of this study is to provide new insights into the mechanisms underlying this arterial pressure dysregulation.

Methods:

62 subjects on chronic hemodialysis were included in this study. Blood pressure was monitored before, during and following each dialysis session for a 3-month period. Pre- and post-dialysis blood samples were drawn from all the subjects to perform immunoassays, monocyte extractions and western blot analyses.

Results:

Blood pressure values separated the subjects with in two groups: normal blood pressure (n=53) and intradialytic hypertension (n=9) groups. Renin, angiotensin converting enzyme I and aldosterone plasma concentrations significantly diverged between the groups. Vascular endothelial nitric oxide assessment revealed significantly lower plasma L-citrulline and angiotensin-converting enzyme II in post-dialysis intradialytic hypertensive patients, along with high endothelin I and asymmetric dimethylarginine concentrations. Plasma collectrin levels were significantly higher in pre and post-dialysis intradialytic hypertensive group compared to a normal blood pressure group. Post-dialysis interleukin 6 was significantly higher in intradialytic hypertensive group compared to normal blood pressure group. Finally, pre-dialysis intradialytic hypertension was associated with significantly higher circulating vascular endothelial growth factor C with monocytic up-regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor C/tonicity-responsive enhancer binding protein expression.

Conclusion:

Impairment of vascular endothelial nitric oxide key regulatory elements, as well as monocytic vascular endothelial growth factor C seems to be more prevalent in intradialytic hypertension. These clues could pinpoint novel therapeutic interventions in intradialytic hypertension management.

* Address correspondence to this author at the Physiology and Pathophysiology Research Laboratory, Pole of Technology and Health, Faculty of Medicine, Saint Joseph University, P.O. Box 5208-17, Beirut, Lebanon; Tel: + 961 1 421 000; E-mail: nassim.fares@usj.edu.lb

#Contributed equally to this work.

Keywords: Kidney, Blood pressure, Hemodialysis, Intradialytic hypertension, Endothelial dysfunction, Nitric oxide.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is becoming more prevalent and is currently affecting 13% of the United States population [1]. It portends serious hazards on human health [2, 3] and is a major cause of mortality and morbidity [4]. The most common causes of CKD are diabetes mellitus and hypertension [5]. Hemodialysis (HD) is the main treatment modality in end stage renal disease. Ensuring blood pressure stability before, during and following an HD session is a key factor for intradialytic hemodynamic status, and intradialytic hypotension is a major side effect of dialysis with an estimated prevalence of 20-50%, mostly related to excessive ultrafiltration [6]. However, despite ultrafiltration and anti-hypertensive medication, 15% of HD patients show an increase in post-dialysis blood pressure, known as “Intradialytic Hypertension” (IH) [7, 8]. IH is classically defined as a 10-mmHg increase of systolic blood pressure (SBP) at the end of the HD session [9]. There is mounting evidence to consider IH as an independent predictor of adverse clinical outcomes such as non-access-related hospitalization, cardiovascular complications and six-month mortality [9, 10]. IH genesis is poorly recognized and its significance controversial, incriminating volume overload and sodium uptake [11, 12], sympathetic overactivity [13], Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone System (RAAS) activation [14], endothelial dysfunction [15] and inflammation [9, 16, 17]. However, it is still unclear whether these factors are considered as causes or consequences of IH. Hence, the need to assess further different biomarkers in order to clarify their pathophysiological role in IH.

The objective of the current study is to assess the occurrence of IH in CKD subjects under HD, and to simultaneously characterize kinetics of endothelial environment molecules potentially implicated in its pathogenesis, namely circulating levels of nitric oxide (NO) synthesis regulators, vascular endothelial growth factor C (VEGF-C), angiotensin converting enzyme II (ACE II), sympathetic activity, and the activity of VEGF-C/tonicity-responsive binding-protein (TonEBP) salt homeostasis regulators [18].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Study Population

All the CKD-5D patients on chronic HD at a tertiary university hospital (Hotel Dieu de France, Beirut) were eligible for the study. The inclusion criteria were: patients aged 18 to 80 years, on HD for more than six months and deemed by their primary nephrologist as able to provide informed consent. Patients with ongoing infection, pulmonary diseases, inflammatory disease, congestive heart failure, cancer, or any other terminal disease were excluded from the study. The protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Saint Joseph University and the Hotel Dieu de France University Hospital (Beirut) according to the declaration of Helsinki.

Of the 80 patients initially eligible for the study, 62 subjects were enrolled following inclusion/exclusion criteria. All the subjects were undergoing three HD sessions per week with a minimal duration of four hours/session. The dialyzer used was “Renak” 1.5 to 1.8 m². HD was performed in the regular setting in a quiet room. Adequacy of dialysis was assessed using KT/V.

2.2. Study Procedure

The study was conducted over three months, with 36 HD sessions per patient. Modality of HD was kept stable during the whole study period. Dialysate sodium concentration was the same for all the patients, with a linear decrease over the session starting from 142meq/l and ending at 138meq/l. Body weight, temperature and heart rate were measured before and after each HD session. Blood pressure was monitored using an automated sphygmomanometer integrated into the dialysis B Braun machine, before, hourly during and immediately following the dialysis session. Pre-dialysis blood pressure was measured in the non-access arm at the beginning of HD after 10-minutes rest with the patient seated in the dialysis chair before placement of a dialysis needle. Post-dialysis blood pressure was measured at the end of the session, 10-minutes after disconnecting the patient from the dialysis circuit. A subject was considered to have IH when the post-dialysis SBP increased by ≥ 10 mmHg compared to his pre-dialysis value in at least 30 of 36 HD sessions.

Routine laboratory results and concurrent dialysis prescription were retrieved. Past medical history and medications updates were also obtained.

2.3. Blood Sampling and Plasma Preparation

Blood samples were drawn from all the subjects before and following each HD session in EDTA tubes. Whole blood was centrifuged, and plasma was collected and stored at -80°C for plasma biochemical assays.

2.4. Isolation of Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated from fresh whole blood on a Ficoll-Paque Plus (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden) gradient, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The isolated monocytes were then mixed with a modified RIPA lysis buffer kept on ice. Finally, protein samples were stored at -80°C.

2.5. Plasma Biochemical Assays

Human plasma concentration of the different molecules studied were measured using ELISA technique for NBP and IH groups. Kits were provided as follow: Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) (DTA00C), VEGF-C (DVEC00), Endothelin-1 (ET-1) (DET100), angiotensin-converting enzyme I (ACE I) (DACE00), renin (DREN00) and aldosterone (KGE016) from R&D system Inc. Minneapolis, MN, USA; Asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) (K7860) and L-citrulline (K6600) from Immunodiagnostik AG, Bensheim, Germany; ACE II (IB39494) from Immuno-Biological Laboratories, Inc. Minneapolis, MN, USA; collectrin (CSB-EL023823HU) from Cusabio Biotech CO., LTD, Wuhan, Hubei, China; C-reactive protein (CRP) (ab181416) and interleukin 6 (IL-6) (ab178013) from Abcam, Cambridge, UK.

2.6. Western Blot

Protein concentration was determined using the Bradford protein assay (Bio- Rad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). Proteins were separated by SDS 10% PAGE then blotted on polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Irvine, CA, USA). The blots were probed with antibodies against: VEGF-C [19] (1:500; rabbit polyclonal to VEGF-C; Abcam ab9546, Cambridge, UK), TonEBP [20] (1:1000; rabbit polyclonal to TonEBP; Abcam ab3446, Cambridge, UK), GAPDH [21] (Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, reference protein) (1:2500; rabbit polyclonal to GAPDH; Abcam ab9485, Cambridge, UK). Following incubation with Clarity Western ECL chemiluminescent substrate (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Irvine, CA, USA), chemiluminescent signals were visualized and developed on Kodak films. Quantifications were finally performed using the ImageJ software. Three western blots were performed for each protein and for every patient in the IH group and for nine random patients in the NBP group.

2.7. Statistical Methods

Statistical analysis was performed with the Sigma Plot exact graphs and data analysis version 12.5 for Windows. When two conditions were compared, two-tailed Student's t-tests were used when normal distribution with equal variances was met as verified by the Shapiro-Wilk test.

Categorical data were reported as percentages. Shapiro-wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were used to assess significant departure of continuous variables from normality. For variables with non-gaussian distribution, the median with its interquartile range was used. For variables not departing significantly from normality, mean \pm standard deviation was reported.

A repeated-measure Multivariable Analysis Of Variance Model (MANOVA) was used to assess the variation of the dependent variables (DVs) (plasmatic levels of the different markers, and clinical parameters: SBP, heart rate, temperature), with one within factor (time defined as either pre or post-dialysis), and one between factor (group, IH or normal pressure group). The interaction group*time was prespecified in the model. Univariate F tests were then conducted for individual DVs at the 5% level. Due to the non-random design and the unbalanced group sizes, type III sum of squares was used. MANOVA was performed using SPSS v22 (IBM Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Blood Pressure and Baseline Characteristics

Among the 62 HD subjects included in the study, 37 (60%) were male, median age was 74 (63-80) years, median dialysis duration was 7 [4 - 10] years and median session duration was 4.5 [4, 5] hours per session (Table 1). The average pre- and post-dialysis SBP values from 36 HD sessions for each patient were analyzed for patient's grouping. 9 patients had a significant increase in their post-dialysis SBP by ≥ 10 mmHg compared to pre-dialysis value in at least 30

HD sessions, and considered as “IH group” with a prevalence of 15% in our population; 53 patients were considered as “normal blood pressure (NBP) group”, since their post-dialysis SBP did never increase compared to their pre-dialysis value, with a prevalence of 85% in our population. The averages of SBP at pre-dialysis, each hour during HD session and post-dialysis are stated in Fig. (1) A for NBP group (136 ± 12, 134 ± 12, 128 ± 12, 120 ± 10, 119 ± 8 mmHg, respectively), and IH group (140 ± 9, 148 ± 7, 145 ± 6, 153 ± 4, 155 ± 3 mmHg, respectively). The etiologies of end-stage renal disease were roughly comparable between NBP and IH groups, except for polycystic and chronic tubulointerstitial nephritis diseases that were only noted in the NBP group (Table 1).

The average intradialytic variation in SBP calculated as the difference between post- and pre-dialysis was -16.76±8.53 mmHg among NBP and +15.08±5.03 mmHg among IH. Post-dialysis SBP was lower than the pre-dialysis SBP in the NBP group (119±8 mmHg vs 136±12 mmHg respectively), whereas in the IH group, post-dialysis was significantly higher than pre-dialysis SBP (155±3 mmHg vs 140±9 mmHg respectively) (Fig. 1A; p (Group) < 0.001, p (Interaction) < 0.001).

Table 1. Baseline demographic and characteristics of the 62 patients enrolled in this study.

		NBP	IH	p-value
Patient n (%)		53 (85)	9 (15)	
Gender n (%)	Men	32 (60)	5 (56)	0.999
	Women	21 (40)	4 (44)	
Age (y)		74[63-80]	75[61-80]	0.734
Maintenance dialysis duration (y)		8.05 ± 4.07	8.33 ± 3.33	0.885
Session duration (Hours)		4.2 [4, 5]	4.1[4-4.4]	0.063
Antihypertensive medications n (%)	ARB	14 (26)	2 (22)	0.999
	β blockers	34 (64)	7 (77)	0.705
	CCB	34 (64)	9 (100)	0.464
	α 1 blockers	15 (28)	1 (11)	0.670
	α and β blocking agents	2 (3)	0 (0)	0.999
	Diuretics	19 (35)	2 (22)	0.705
	Vasodilators	12 (22)	0 (0)	0.185
	ARB + CCB	11 (20)	1 (11)	0.675
Nephropathy causes n (%)	Hypertension	20 (38)	3 (33)	0.999
	Diabetes mellitus	15 (28)	1 (11)	0.425
	Glomerulonephritis	7 (13)	4 (45)	0.044
	Polycystic disease	4 (8)	0 (0)	0.999
	Chronic tubulo-interstitial nephritis	4 (8)	0 (0)	0.999
	Unknown	3 (5)	1 (11)	0.475

ARB: angiotensin II receptor blocker; CCB: calcium channel blockers; n: number of patients in each group, y: years. Data are represented as mean ± SD or as median with its interquartile range (IQR = Q1- Q3). The T-test, Mann-Whitney U test and Fisher exact test were used as appropriate.




Fig. 1 cont.....




Fig. (1). Patterns of mean intradialytic SBP and the endothelium NO balance assessed by circulating biomarkers concentrations of L-citrulline, ADMA and collectrin for NBP and IH groups. (A) Mean SBP before, during and after the session for all the HD sessions. Pre- (Black box) and post-dialysis (White box) plasma concentrations of L-citrulline (B), ADMA (C) and collectrin (D). Data were represented as mean ± SD. All the p-values are derived from the MANOVA model. 3 types of p values are presented: p (Time) associated with the within subject effect (time factor, 2 levels, pre and post dialysis), p (Group) associated with the between subject's effect (Group factor, 2 levels, IH and NBP group), and the p (Interaction) associated with the group*time interaction term.

Patients were on antihypertensive medications, with a higher use of CCB among IH group compared to NBP (100% vs 64%) (Table 1). Timing and dosing of antihypertensive medications were similar between groups, as was the use of non-antihypertensive medications.

3.2. Laboratory Tests

Standard laboratory pre-dialysis measures including hematocrit, hemoglobin, alkaline phosphatase, serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase, parathyroid hormone, serum bicarbonate, serum phosphorus, Serum calcium, urea reduction rate and creatinine reduction rate did not significantly differ between the two groups (Table 2). Kinetics of ACE I plasma concentrations diverged between the NBP and the IH group. The initial difference between the groups diverged significantly due to increases of ACE I levels in the NBP group (p (Time) < 0.001, p (Group) < 0.001, p (Interaction) = 0.003). A similar pattern was noted for renin (p (Time) < 0.001, p (Group) < 0.001, p (Interaction) < 0.001) and aldosterone (p (Time) < 0.001, p (Group) < 0.001, p (Interaction) < 0.001) (Table 2). Pre-dialysis Na plasma concentration was similar in both groups as was the post-dialysis Na plasma concentration.

Table 2. Laboratory tests of patients during the study period. ACE I, renin and aldosterone plasma concentrations show significant differences between pre- and post-dialysis and between groups (p (Time) < 0.001 for the 3 molecules, p (Group) < 0.001 for the 3 molecules, p (Interaction) = 0.003 for ACE I and <0.001 for the 2 other molecules).

Variables	NBP	IH	p-value
Hematocrit (%)	32 ± 4	31 ± 2	0.292
Hemoglobin (g/L)	10.6 ± 1.2	10.1 ± 0.7	0.250
Erythropoietin (UI/Kg/week)	65.68 ± 14.4	60.77 ± 8.9	0.556
Alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	90[76.5 – 123.0]	141[100 – 218.5]	0.007
Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (IU/L)	24 [19 - 27]	25[21.5 - 31.5]	0.403
Parathyroid hormone (pg/mL)	179[127 - 275]	107[71.9 - 188]	0.078
Serum bicarbonate (mmol/L)	22 [20 - 24]	24 [22 - 25]	0.183
Serum phosphorus (mmol/L)	1.47[1.22 - 1.87]	1.18[0.96 - 1.96]	0.404
Serum calcium (mmol/L)	2.26 ± 0.15	2.31 ± 0.19	0.448
Urea reduction ratio	0.75 ± 0.06	0.74 ± 0.05	0.851
Creatinine (µmol/L)	281 ± 98	237 ± 66	0.200
ACE I (ng/mL)	Pre-dialysis	208.2 ± 36.1	p (Time) < 0.001 p (Group) < 0.001 p (Interaction) = 0.003
	Post-dialysis	260.2 ± 42.4	

(Table 2) contd....

Variables		NBP	IH	p-value
Renin (pg/mL)	Pre-dialysis	1070.3 ± 324.8	640.4 ± 453.9	p (Time) < 0.001 p (Group) < 0.001 p (Interaction) < 0.001
	Post-dialysis	1609.9 ± 431.2	590.2 ± 406.6	
Aldosterone (pg/mL)	Pre-dialysis	371.9 ± 187.2	477.8 ± 227.8	p (Time) < 0.001 p (Group) < 0.001 p (Interaction) < 0.001
	Post-dialysis	1911.3 ± 397.4	618.7 ± 197.8	
Na (mmol/L)	Pre-dialysis	137 ± 3	141 ± 5	p (Time) < 0.001 p (Group) = 0.635 p (Interaction) = 0.858
	Post-dialysis	144 ± 2	144 ± 2	

Na: sodium. Data are represented as means ± SD or as median with its interquartile range (IQR = Q1- Q3). For non-repeated measures, comparison between NBP and IH groups was performed with the Student's t test or the Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate.

3.3. Clinical Measurements

In the NBP group, mean interdialytic weight gain and mean intradialytic weight loss were 2.80±0.06 and 2.86±0.25 Kg respectively (Table 3). In the IH group, mean interdialytic weight gain and mean intradialytic weight loss were 1.80±0.15 and 1.95±0.12 Kg respectively (Table 3). Thus, the patients in both groups reached their initial presumed dry weight. To note that dry weight was assessed clinically and reviewed every session by the attending nephrologist. Echocardiography, to assess inferior vena cava diameter, was used in some cases to help in dry weight determination. The body temperature and heart rate remained stable before and after HD sessions (Table 3).

Table 3. Pre- and post-dialysis measurement of body weight, body temperature and heart rate, in addition with the calculated values of mean intradialytic weight loss and mean interdialytic weight gain.

Parameters		NBP	IH	p-values
Body weight (Kg)	Pre-dialysis	74.8 ± 17.7	70.7 ± 13	p (Time) < 0.001 p (Group) = 0.573 p (Interaction) = 0.008
	Post-dialysis	71.9 ± 17.2	68.7 ± 12.7	
	Mean Intradialytic weight loss (% Intradialytic weight loss)	3.9 ± 1.0 (2.9 ± 0.9)	2.8 ± 1 (2.0 ± 0.8)	
	Mean Interdialytic weight gain (% Interdialytic weight loss)	4.0 ± 1.3 (2.8 ± 1.0)	2.7 ± 1.8 (1.8 ± 1.2)	0.009
Mean Body temperature (°C)	Pre-dialysis	35.9 ± 0.3	36.1 ± 0.2	p (Time) = 0.866 p (Group) = 0.417 p (Interaction) = 0.249
	Post-dialysis	36.1 ± 0.6	36.1 ± 0.2	
Mean Heart rate (beats/min)	Pre-dialysis	72 ± 9	75 ± 8	p (Time) = 0.128 p (Group) = 0.271 p (Interaction) = 0.926
	Post-dialysis	73 ± 7	76 ± 7	

Data are represented as mean ± SD. Comparison between NBP and IH groups was performed with the Student's t test for non-repeated measures and MANOVA for the other situations.

3.4. L-Citrulline, ADMA and Collectrin Plasma Concentrations

Pre-dialysis L-citrulline plasma concentration was similar in both groups. It significantly decreased in post-dialysis in both groups (and post-dialysis L-citrulline plasma concentration was significantly lower in IH group compared to NBP group (p (Time) < 0.001, p (Group) = 0.221, p (Interaction) = 0.032, Fig. 1B).

Pre-dialysis ADMA plasma concentration was comparable between the two groups (Fig. 1C). Post-dialysis ADMA decreased in the NBP group while it increased in IH group (p (Time) = 0.111, p (Group) < 0.001, p (Interaction) < 0.001).

Pre-dialysis collectrin plasma concentrations was higher in the IH group compared to the NBP group and the difference was maintained parallel until post-dialysis (p (Time) = 0.799, p (Group) = 0.016, p (Interaction) = 0.322; Fig. 1D).

3.5. VEGF-C and TonEBP Assessments

The Pre-dialysis VEGF-C plasma concentration was different between the NBP and the IH groups, and the difference was carried out throughout the HD in a parallel course and no significant time*group interaction (p (Time) = 0.082, p (Group) < 0.001, p (Interaction) = 0.122; Fig. 2A). Monocyte VEGF-C protein expression was significantly more pronounced in IH compared to NBP group (Figs. 2B, C). In parallel, monocyte TonEBP protein expression showed similar expression to VEGF-C (Figs. 2B, D).




Fig. (2). Overexpression of VEGF-C and TonEBP in IH patient monocyte cells. (A) Plasma VEGF-C concentration (pg/mL) dosage in pre-(Black box) and post-dialysis (White box) samples of NBP and IH groups (p-values are derived from the MANOVA model. 3 types of p values are presented: p (Time) associated with the within subject effect (Time factor, 2 levels, pre and post dialysis), p (Group) associated with the between subjects effect (Group factor, 2 levels, IH and NBP group), and the p (Interaction) associated with the group*time interaction term). Representative western blot figures (B) and quantifications of VEGF-C (C) and TonEBP (D) in human monocytes of NBP (Black box) and IH (White box) groups, normalized to GAPDH whereas GAPDH is used as an internal control (in arbitrary units, a.u.). Three western blots for each protein (9 NBP patients and 9 IH patients) (* $p < 0.05$ vs NBP). Data are represented as means \pm SD. The latter comparison between NBP and IH groups was performed with the Student's t test.

3.6. ACE II and ET-1 Plasma Concentrations

Post-dialysis ACE II plasma concentration significantly differed between pre and post dialysis (p (Time) < 0.001 ; Fig. 3A), with a significant divergent course between the NBP group where it increased and the IH group in which it decreased (p (Group) = 0.013, p (Interaction) < 0.001), and post-dialysis ACE II plasma concentration was significantly lower in the IH group co. ET-1 plasma concentration increased non differentially in both groups between pre- and post-dialysis; p (Time) < 0.001 ; Fig. 3B) thus maintaining the pre dialysis between groups difference (p (Group) < 0.001 p (Interaction) = 0.350).

3.7. Inflammation Biomarkers

Pre- and post-dialysis CRP plasma concentrations were comparable in both groups (Table 4), while TNF- α levels increased slightly but significantly between pre and post-dialysis (p (Time) = 0.016) with no difference between the groups (p (Group) = 0.698, p (Interaction) = 0.731). IL-6 plasma concentration increased differentially from pre to post dialysis and was significantly higher in the IH group as compared to the NBP group (p (Time) < 0.001 , p (Group) = 0.045 p (Interaction) < 0.001 , Table 4).




Fig. (3). Lower plasma concentration of ACE II in IH group. (A) Measurement of plasma concentration of ACE II (pg/mL) in pre- (Black box) and post-dialysis (White box) for NBP and IH groups. Plasma ET-1 concentration (B) (pg/mL) dosage in pre- (Black box) and post-dialysis (White box) samples of NBP and IH groups. Data are represented as means ± SD. Comparison between NBP and IH groups was performed with the two-way MANOVA for repeated measures, 3 types of p values are presented: p (Time) associated with the within subject effect (Time factor, 2 levels, pre and post-dialysis), p (Group) associated with the between subjects effect (Group factor, 2 levels, IH and NBP groups), and the p (Interaction) associated with the group*time interaction term.

Table 4. Inflammatory biomarkers measurements. Plasma concentration (pg/mL) of pro- and anti-inflammatory agents (TNF-α, CRP and IL-6) in pre- and post-dialysis of NBP and IH groups.

Inflammatory molecules		NBP	IH	p-values
TNF-α (pg/mL)	Pre-dialysis	12.9 ± 2.5	12.3 ± 2.4	p (Time) = 0.016 p (Group) = 0.698 p (Interaction) = 0.731
	Post-dialysis	14.4 ± 3.8	14.3 ± 3.1	
CRP (mg/L)	Pre-dialysis	17.6 ± 7.4	21.5 ± 7.1	p (Time) = 0.241 p (Group) = 0.863 p (Interaction) = 0.731
	Post-dialysis	18.1 ± 8	22.2 ± 7	
IL-6 (pg/mL)	Pre-dialysis	841 ± 48	790 ± 67	p (Time) < 0.001 p (Group) = 0.045 p (Interaction) < 0.001
	Post-dialysis	870 ± 73	986 ± 112	

Data are represented as means ± SD. Comparison between NBP and IH groups was performed with the MANOVA model.

4. DISCUSSION

The aim of this study is to assess the mechanisms underlying intradialytic hypertension, to identify endothelial and other biomarkers and the substantial cross-talk between the endothelium and macrophages.

In this study, SBP was the main parameter used for dividing the patients into two groups, NBP and IH. Nine of the sixty-two patients showed, as per IH definition, an SBP increase of more than 10mmHg at the end of the HD session, representing 15% of the included sample, in concordance with previous studies [11, 12].

In HD patients, one crucial factor affecting the arterial blood pressure is volemia [22]. Many studies, especially the study of Agarwal and al [12], suggested that IH may be an indicator of hypervolemia. In the current study, all the subjects reached their clinically preset dry weight, thus rendering the possibility of a concealed hypervolemia unlikely with such a close clinical monitoring.

Many studies have suggested stimulation of RAAS as a potential mechanism in IH [23]. During HD session, ultrafiltration leads to intravascular volume reduction that may enhance RAAS activity and thereby induce a sudden rise in systemic vascular resistance and blood pressure [17]. In the current study, post-dialysis renin, ACE I and aldosterone plasma concentrations increased in NBP patients while remaining unaltered in the IH group throughout the HD time. Similar observations were reported by Chou *et al.* [17, 24] and suggest that RAAS activation may not be the only mechanism inducing IH. In fact, pharmacological RAAS inhibition is not always effective in controlling intradialytic increases in blood pressure [25].

NO is established as a pivotal signaling molecule in the regulation of a large array of physiological events, especially cardiovascular function. NO is generated from L-arginine by endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and the main marker for endothelial dysfunction is down-regulated NO bioavailability [26, 27]. In this understanding, we evaluated the endothelial microenvironment by investigating the plasma level of L-citrulline, ET-1, ADMA and collectrin. L-citrulline is an amino acid released in equimolar amounts to NO after L-arginine conversion by eNOS [28]. In the current study, post-dialysis plasma levels of L-citrulline were significantly lower in the IH group compared to the NBP group, suggesting that higher SBP in the IH group could result from an impaired NO/L-citrulline production. Moreover, the eventuality of L-citrulline removal by hemodialysis is unlikely since post-dialysis L-citrulline plasma levels in the NBP group remained significantly higher than those of the IH group.

Another important player in the endothelial dysfunction is ET-1; NO and ET-1 are natural counterparts in the vascular function where the key function of NO is to limit the constrictor capacity of ET-1 [29]. In the current study, pre dialysis ET-1 levels were higher in the IH group and increased further in post dialysis. Similarly, other studies showed higher post-dialysis levels of ET-1 in hypertensive patients [16, 17, 30, 31]. An inappropriate increase in ET-1 combined with a decrease in NO could play an important role in the pathogenesis of IH.

ADMA plays also an important role in endothelial dysfunction. ADMA is an eNOS inhibitor known for its accumulation in CKD-5D [32]. Conflicting data have been reported about the activity of ADMA in HD, with some studies showing its increase [33] others showing its decrease [34] or showing no significant differences [11, 30] in IH patients. In the current study, higher post-dialysis concentration of ADMA was increased in IH group compared to NBP group, corroborating the findings of Engelberger *et al.* [34]. Increased ADMA levels and an impaired NO/ET-1 balance would lead to a further decrease in NO bioavailability and SBP rise in IH patients.

Besides the aforementioned factors, a novel protein has been recently added to the NO regulators family, namely collectrin, a transmembrane protein of the collecting duct acting as an amino acid transporter [35]. Some experimental studies have suggested that collectrin plays a protective role against hypertension by maintaining NO balance through its role in facilitating the cellular uptake of L-arginine [36]. To the best of our knowledge, no studies on humans have evaluated the plasma levels of collectrin and its relation to hypertension in HD patients. In the current, plasma collectrin levels were measured in humans. Pre and post-dialysis plasma collectrin concentrations were significantly higher in IH group compared to NBP group. Even though the biological role of circulating collectrin is still unclear, these findings suggest that high circulating collectrin in IH patients are compatible with a compensatory response to counteract the lack in NO bioavailability.

Several studies showed the important role of ACE II in hypertension and cardio-renal disease [37, 38]. ACE II is a tissue enzyme and its circulating levels are low or even undetectable under physiological conditions [39]. It is involved in the degradation of Ang II into Ang (1-7) [40]. Ang (1-7) seems to exert a vasodilator effect *via* binding to the G-protein coupled receptor Mas and inducing NO release *via* eNOS activation [41, 42]. The current study showed lower levels of ACE II in the IH group compared to the NBP group, which could be incriminated in the decrease of NO release and thus play a role in the IH genesis. Roberts *et al* showed a decrease in ACE II plasma activity in HD patient compared to CKD, especially in female HD patients [43]. To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first to describe the variation of ACE II in IH patients.

Summing up the previous alteration in the endothelial microenvironment is compatible with the hypothesis of the rise in SBP observed in IH patients being related to the disrupted NO key substrates, NO/ET-1, ADMA, collectrin and ACE II.

The immune system has been implicated in the pathogenesis of hypertension, but the underlying mechanisms are still not well elucidated [44]. The majority of HD patients have elevated serum levels of inflammatory markers [45]. The increase in the inflammatory response in these patients may occur *via* decreased clearance of pro-inflammatory cytokines, volume overload, oxidative stress, patient-related mechanisms, as well as factors related to dialysis technique [46]. More specifically, IL-6 has been shown to be induced by HD in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and to be associated with comorbidity in HD patients [47]. In our study, plasma pro-inflammatory markers TNF- α , CRP and IL-6 were measured. IL-6 increased following HD in IH patients as compared to the NBP group, suggesting a higher inflammatory response in the IH group, irrespective of volume overload or dialysis technique which were similar in both groups.

VEGF-C is essential for endothelial cell growth, cell differentiation, angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis [48, 49]. On endothelial cells, VEGF-C has two main receptors VEGF receptor 2 and 3. In interstitial hypertonicity conditions,

VEGF-C is secreted by monocytes, induces endothelial nitric oxide synthase *via* VEGF receptor 2, which increases NO production and induces vasodilation [50]. In the present study, IH patients had significantly higher circulating VEGF-C and monocytic VEGF-C/TonEBP expression than NBP patients. This increase is compatible with a compensatory response to counteract the NO biosynthesis impairment. In an effort to combine the results and explain their role in IH genesis, we elaborated a unifying schema summarizing the explored interactions and pathways (Fig. 4).




Fig. (4). Proposed scheme summarizing the interplay of the studied molecules implicated in IH pathogenesis. The ACE II decrease results in less Ang (1-7) production and NOS activation. In parallel, the increase of ADMA leads to NOS blocking and thereby NO synthesis inhibition, despite the high plasma collectrin and VEGF-C/TonEBP expression. Moreover, ET-1 is increased. All in all, the vasomotor balance is shifted from vasodilation to a vasoconstriction status.

CONCLUSION

The current data are compatible with an impairment of vascular endothelial NO key regulatory elements, ADMA, collectrin and ACE II as well as monocytic VEGF-C/TonEBP, all participating in IH pathogenesis. These findings could provide new insights into the pathophysiological scheme leading to the development of IH and could pinpoint for future therapeutic interventions.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

J.H., Y.S., D.C., and N.F., designed the research, performed experiments, analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. N.J., helped for data analysis and draft the manuscript. G.S., helped for statistical methods. N.K. reviewed the manuscript; D.C., helped for data acquisition. S.A. contribute to the research design.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

The protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Saint Joseph University and the Hotel Dieu de France University Hospital (Beirut).

HUMAN AND ANIMAL RIGHTS

Animals did not participate in this research. All human research procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the committee responsible for human experimentation (institutional and national), and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Research Council of the Saint Joseph University – Faculty of Medicine.

REFERENCES

- [1] Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, *et al.* Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. *JAMA* 2007; 298(17): 2038-47. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.17.2038>] [PMID: 17986697]
- [2] Levey AS, Eckardt K-U, Tsukamoto Y, *et al.* Definition and classification of chronic kidney disease: A position statement from Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO). *Kidney Int* 2005; 67(6): 2089-100. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.00365.x>] [PMID: 15882252]
- [3] de Albuquerque Seixas E, Carmello BL, Kojima CA, *et al.* Frequency and clinical predictors of coronary artery disease in chronic renal failure renal transplant candidates. *Ren Fail* 2015; 37(4): 597-600. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/0886022X.2015.1007822>] [PMID: 25656834]
- [4] Floege J, Gillespie IA, Kronenberg F, *et al.* Development and validation of a predictive mortality risk score from a European hemodialysis cohort. *Kidney Int* 2015; 87(5): 996-1008. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ki.2014.419>] [PMID: 25651366]
- [5] Snively CS, Gutierrez C. Chronic kidney disease: Prevention and treatment of common complications. *Am Fam Physician* 2004; 70(10): 1921-8. [PMID: 15571058]
- [6] Kuipers J, Oosterhuis JK, Krijnen WP, *et al.* Prevalence of intradialytic hypotension, clinical symptoms and nursing interventions: A three-months, prospective study of 3818 haemodialysis sessions. *BMC Nephrol* 2016; 17: 21. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12882-016-0231-9>] [PMID: 26922795]
- [7] Yang C-Y, Yang W-C, Lin Y-P. Postdialysis blood pressure rise predicts long-term outcomes in chronic hemodialysis patients: A four-year prospective observational cohort study. *BMC Nephrol* 2012; 13: 12. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2369-13-12>] [PMID: 22414233]
- [8] Van Buren PN, Inrig JK. Special situations: Intradialytic hypertension/chronic hypertension and intradialytic hypotension. *Semin Dial* 2017; 30(6): 545-52. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sdi.12631>] [PMID: 28666072]
- [9] Inrig JK, Oddone EZ, Hasselblad V, *et al.* Association of intradialytic blood pressure changes with hospitalization and mortality rates in prevalent ESRD patients. *Kidney Int* 2007; 71(5): 454-61. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5002077>] [PMID: 17213873]
- [10] Inrig JK. Intradialytic hypertension: A less-recognized cardiovascular complication of hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2010; 55(3): 580-9. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2009.08.013>] [PMID: 19853337]
- [11] Inrig JK, Van Buren P, Kim C, Vongpatanasin W, Povsic TJ, Toto R. Probing the mechanisms of intradialytic hypertension: A pilot study targeting endothelial cell dysfunction. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012; 7(8): 1300-9. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.2215/CJN.10010911>] [PMID: 22700888]
- [12] Agarwal R, Alborzi P, Satyan S, Light RP. Dry-weight reduction in hypertensive hemodialysis patients (DRIP): A randomized, controlled trial. *Hypertens Dallas Tex* 1979 2009; 53(3): 500-7.
- [13] Agarwal R, Light RP. Intradialytic hypertension is a marker of volume excess. *Nephrol Dial Transplant Off Publ Eur Dial Transpl Assoc - Eur Ren Assoc* 2010; 25(10): 3355-61. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfq210>]
- [14] Rubinger D, Backenroth R, Sapoznikov D. Sympathetic activation and baroreflex function during intradialytic hypertensive episodes. *PLoS One* 2012; 7(5): e36943. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036943>] [PMID: 22629345]
- [15] Grekas D, Kalevrosoglou I, Karamouzis M, Geropoulou E, Kabouris H, Tourkantonis A. Effect of sympathetic and plasma renin activity on hemodialysis hypertension. *Clin Nephrol* 2001; 55(2): 115-20. [PMID: 11269674]
- [16] El-Shafey EM, El-Nagar GF, Selim MF, El-Sorogy HA, Sabry AA. Is there a role for endothelin-1 in the hemodynamic changes during hemodialysis? *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2008; 12(5): 370-5. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10157-008-0065-2>] [PMID: 18568290]
- [17] Chou K-J, Lee P-T, Chen C-L, *et al.* Physiological changes during hemodialysis in patients with intradialysis hypertension. *Kidney Int* 2006; 69(10): 1833-8. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5000266>] [PMID: 16691262]
- [18] Locatelli F, Cavalli A, Tucci B. The growing problem of intradialytic hypertension. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2010; 6(1): 41-8.

- [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrneph.2009.200] [PMID: 19935745]
- [19] Machnik A, Dahlmann A, Kopp C, Goss J, Wagner H, van Rooijen N, *et al.* Mononuclear phagocyte system depletion blocks interstitial tonicity-responsive enhancer binding protein/vascular endothelial growth factor C expression and induces salt-sensitive hypertension in rats. *Hypertens Dallas Tex* 1979 2010; 55(3): 755-61.
- [20] Kitterer D, Latus J, Ulmer C, *et al.* Activation of nuclear factor of activated T cells 5 in the peritoneal membrane of uremic patients. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2015; 308(11): F1247-58. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.00617.2014] [PMID: 25834072]
- [21] Mauer J, Luo X, Blanjoie A, Jiao X, Grozhik AV, Patil DP, *et al.* Reversible methylation of m6Am in the 5' cap controls mRNA stability. *Nature* 2017 19; 541(7637): 371-5.
- [22] Lewicki MC, Kerr PG, Polkinghorne KR. Blood pressure and blood volume: Acute and chronic considerations in hemodialysis. *Semin Dial* 2013; 26(1): 62-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/sdi.12009] [PMID: 23004343]
- [23] Bazzato G, Coli U, Landini S, *et al.* Prevention of intra- and postdialytic hypertensive crises by captopril. *Contrib Nephrol* 1984; 41: 292-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000429299] [PMID: 6396035]
- [24] Chazot C, Jean G. Intradialytic hypertension: it is time to act. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2010; 115(3): c182-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000313031] [PMID: 20413995]
- [25] Inrig JK, Van Buren P, Kim C, Vongpatanasin W, Povsic TJ, Toto RD. Intradialytic hypertension and its association with endothelial cell dysfunction. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; 6(8): 2016-24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2215/CJN.11351210] [PMID: 21757643]
- [26] Hermann M, Flammer A, Lüscher TF. Nitric oxide in hypertension. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)* 2006; 8(12)(Suppl. 4): 17-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-6175.2006.06032.x] [PMID: 17170603]
- [27] Lundberg JO, Gladwin MT, Weitzberg E. Strategies to increase nitric oxide signalling in cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2015; 14(9): 623-41. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrd4623] [PMID: 26265312]
- [28] Ignarro LJ. A novel signal transduction mechanism for transcellular communication. *Hypertens Dallas Tex* 1979 1990 Nov; 16(5): 477-83.
- [29] Bourque SL, Davidge ST, Adams MA. The interaction between endothelin-1 and nitric oxide in the vasculature: New perspectives. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2011; 300(6): R1288-95. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00397.2010] [PMID: 21368267]
- [30] Raj DSC, Vincent B, Simpson K, *et al.* Hemodynamic changes during hemodialysis: Role of nitric oxide and endothelin. *Kidney Int* 2002; 61(2): 697-704. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2002.00150.x] [PMID: 11849413]
- [31] Teng J, Tian J, Lv W-L, *et al.* Inappropriately elevated endothelin-1 plays a role in the pathogenesis of intradialytic hypertension. *Hemodial Int* 2015; 19(2): 279-86. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/hdi.12238] [PMID: 25345870]
- [32] Zoccali C, Bode-Böger S, Mallamaci F, *et al.* Plasma concentration of asymmetrical dimethylarginine and mortality in patients with end-stage renal disease: A prospective study. *Lancet* 2001; 358(9299): 2113-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(01)07217-8] [PMID: 11784625]
- [33] Eiselt J, Rajdl D, Racek J, Siroká R, Trefil L, Opatrná S. Asymmetric dimethylarginine in hemodialysis, hemodiafiltration, and peritoneal dialysis. *Artif Organs* 2010; 34(5): 420-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1594.2009.00872.x] [PMID: 20633156]
- [34] Engelberger RP, Teta D, Henry H, De Senarclens O, Dischl B, Liaudet L, *et al.* Haemodialysis acutely reduces the plasma levels of ADMA without reversing impaired NO-dependent vasodilation. *Clin Sci Lond Engl* 1979 2009; 117(8): 293-303.
- [35] Danileczyk U, Sarao R, Remy C, *et al.* Essential role for collectrin in renal amino acid transport. *Nature* 2006; 444(7122): 1088-91. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05475] [PMID: 17167413]
- [36] Cechova S, Zeng Q, Billaud M, *et al.* Loss of collectrin, an angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 homolog, uncouples endothelial nitric oxide synthase and causes hypertension and vascular dysfunction. *Circulation* 2013; 128(16): 1770-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.003301] [PMID: 24048198]
- [37] Wysocki J, González-Pacheco FR, Battle D. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2: Possible role in hypertension and kidney disease. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2008; 10(1): 70-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11906-008-0014-1] [PMID: 18367030]
- [38] Varagic J, Ahmad S, Nagata S, Ferrario CM. ACE2: angiotensin II/angiotensin-(1-7) balance in cardiac and renal injury. *Curr Hypertens Rep* 2014; 16(3): 420. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11906-014-0420-5] [PMID: 24510672]
- [39] Ye M, Wysocki J, Gonzalez-Pacheco FR, Salem M, Evora K, Garcia-Halpin L, *et al.* Murine recombinant angiotensin-converting enzyme 2: Effect on angiotensin II-dependent hypertension and distinctive angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 inhibitor characteristics on rodent and human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. *Hypertens Dallas Tex* 1979 2012 Sep; 60(3): 730-40.

- [40] Donoghue M, Hsieh F, Baronas E, *et al.* A novel angiotensin-converting enzyme-related carboxypeptidase (ACE2) converts angiotensin I to angiotensin 1-9. *Circ Res* 2000; 87(5): E1-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.87.5.e1] [PMID: 10969042]
- [41] Sampaio WO, Souza dos Santos RA, Faria-Silva R, da Mata Machado LT, Schiffrin EL, Touyz RM. Angiotensin-(1-7) through receptor Mas mediates endothelial nitric oxide synthase activation *via* Akt-dependent pathways. *Hypertens Dallas Tex* 1979 2007 Jan; 49(1): 185-92.
- [42] Epelman S, Tang WHW, Chen SY, Van Lente F, Francis GS, Sen S. Detection of soluble angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in heart failure: Insights into the endogenous counter-regulatory pathway of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008; 52(9): 750-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2008.02.088] [PMID: 18718423]
- [43] Roberts MA, Velkoska E, Ierino FL, Burrell LM. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 activity in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant Off Publ Eur Dial Transpl Assoc - Eur Ren Assoc* 2013 Sep; 28(9): 2287-94. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ndt/ftt038]
- [44] Ryan MJ. An update on immune system activation in the pathogenesis of hypertension. *Hypertens Dallas Tex* 1979 2013 Aug; 62(2): 226-30.
- [45] Zimmermann J, Herrlinger S, Pruy A, Metzger T, Wanner C. Inflammation enhances cardiovascular risk and mortality in hemodialysis patients. *Kidney Int* 1999; 55(2): 648-58. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.1999.00273.x] [PMID: 9987089]
- [46] Jofré R, Rodríguez-Benitez P, López-Gómez JM, Pérez-García R. Inflammatory syndrome in patients on hemodialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2006; 17(12)(Suppl. 3): S274-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2006080926] [PMID: 17130274]
- [47] Beberashvili I, Sinuani I, Azar A, *et al.* IL-6 levels, nutritional status, and mortality in prevalent hemodialysis patients. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011; 6(9): 2253-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2215/CJN.01770211] [PMID: 21852667]
- [48] The lymphangiogenic growth factors VEGF-C and VEGF-D, Part 1: Fundamentals and embryonic development - krebsjeltschlymphforsch200317130-37-150406160631-conversion-gate01.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2017 Jun 1]. Available from: <https://jeltsch.org/sites/jeltsch.org/files/krebsjeltschlymphforsch200317130-37-150406160631-conversion-gate01.pdf>
- [49] Zachary I, Glikli G. Signaling transduction mechanisms mediating biological actions of the vascular endothelial growth factor family. *Cardiovasc Res* 2001; 49(3): 568-81. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0008-6363(00)00268-6] [PMID: 11166270]
- [50] Machnik A, Neuhofer W, Jantsch J, *et al.* Macrophages regulate salt-dependent volume and blood pressure by a vascular endothelial growth factor-C-dependent buffering mechanism. *Nat Med* 2009; 15(5): 545-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.1960] [PMID: 19412173]