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Abstract:

Aim: To evaluate the factors that affect the immune response to Hepatitis B vaccination in the Stage 5 chronic kidney disease population (Haemodialysis and Predialysis).

Methods: Eligible Stage 5 chronic kidney disease patients on haemodialysis (Cohort A: N= 39) and Predialysis (Cohort B: N=56) in an outer metropolitan renal service in Western Australia with no prior Hepatitis B infection or vaccination between Jan 2015 to Dec 2021 were involved in this retrospective cohort study. Serological response to Hepatitis B vaccination (H-B-VAX II 40 mcg intramuscularly at 0, 1 and 6 months) was evaluated six-eight weeks post-vaccination. Factors such as age, gender, diabetes mellites, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, chronic obstructive airway disease, serum albumin, and erythropoietin stimulating agent dependence were studied for their influence on immune responses in these cohorts.

Results: There were 95 eligible respondents in the study. Cohort B (Predialysis) showed a significantly higher response than Cohort A (Haemodialysis) (66.1% vs 53.8%) (p=0.003). Different factors affecting the vaccine response were identified in the two cohorts. Serum albumin <35g/L was associated with negative response in 61.1% (p=0.0023) Cohort A HD patients. In the Predialysis Cohort B, 84.2% (p=0.026) were male gender, 63.2% (p=0.028) with the presence of cardiovascular disease, and 57.9% (p=0.001) who were Erythropoietin dependent showed a negative response to the vaccine.

Conclusion: This study showed that the Hepatitis B vaccine response was lower in HD patients than in Predialysis patients with stage 5 chronic kidney disease. Clinical factors of serum albumin, cardiovascular disease, and patient factors of gender and erythropoietin dependence were identified as factors that affected vaccine response in these two cohorts. We postulate these factors to be considered in the hepatitis B vaccination management to enhance immunological response strategies and extend to earlier stages of chronic kidney failure.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is a leading global public health epidemic disease, with estimated prevalence reported to be 13.4% in 2019 [1].
In Australia, the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) reported an estimated 1.7 million Australians aged over 18 years had CKD in 2020 [2].

CKD is defined as a decline in kidney function caused by structural damage. The level of kidney function can be determined by the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) method [3] or the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula [4].

CKD is classified into 5 stages according to the degree of kidney damage, as shown in Fig. (1) (Kidney Health Australia, 2020).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kidney function stage</th>
<th>GFR (mL/min/1.73m²)</th>
<th>Albuminuria stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
<td>Not CKD unless haematuria, structural or pathological abnormalities present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60-89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>45-59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>30-44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15-29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;15 or on dialysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**. Classification of chronic kidney disease. ACR, albumin-to-creatinine ratio; CKD, chronic kidney disease; GFR, glomerular filtration rate. Reproduced with permission from Kidney Health Australia, Chronic kidney disease (CKD) management in primary care, 4th edn, Melbourne, Vic:Kidney Health Australia, 2020.

Infection is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in chronic kidney disease (CKD) [5, 6]. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) causes serious diseases such as acute hepatitis, liver fibrosis and liver cancer [7, 8]. Since its use in 1982, vaccination remains the most effective way to minimise the effects of Hepatitis B infection [9].

Hepatitis B vaccination is a standard of care in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD) in particular, the haemodialysis (HD) population who are at potentially high risk due to exposure to blood and blood products within haemodialysis equipment, breaching of skin integrity, frequent surgeries, and hospitalisation. Advanced CKD is associated with suboptimal immune responses to infections or vaccinations due to immune suppression related to uraemia impacting CD3⁺, CD4⁺, CD8⁺ T and B lymphocytes [10, 11]. Thereby, a complex process involving an intact innate and adaptive immune system is required for the immune response to the vaccination [12]. Besides the immunological impact, many patient-associated factors have been associated with the suboptimal response in the CKD and HD population [13-15]. This study explored these factors on patients with stage 5 CKD on Haemodialysis and those who were not yet started on dialysis (Predialysis).

**1.1. Aim**

To evaluate the factors that affect the immune response to Hepatitis B vaccination in the Stage 5 chronic kidney disease population (Haemodialysis and Predialysis).

**1.2. Hypothesis**

We hypothesised clinical and patient-related factors influence the immunological response to Hepatitis B vaccination in dialysis and predialysis dependent stage 5 chronic kidney disease.

**2. METHODS**

In order to determine the factors that influence the response to Hepatitis B vaccination in stage 5 CKD patients, this study employed the “Retrospective Study” design to examine data collected from the medical records of the following two groups of patients.

Hepatitis B naïve and seronegative for Anti HBsAb (no prior Hepatitis B infection or vaccination) patients on maintenance haemodialysis (Cohort A: n = 39) and Stage 5 CKD not yet on dialysis, i.e. predialysis (Cohort B: n = 56) within an outer metropolitan renal service in Western Australia between Jan 2015 to Dec 2021 were included in the study, after excluding 10 immune (HBsAb >10 mIU/mL) and seven deceased patients. Patients with active advanced malignancy, known immune deficiency or immunosuppressants were also excluded at the initial screening.

Current guidelines recommend that patients with advanced CKD and those on dialysis should receive active...
vaccination against the hepatitis B virus [16]. Variations in vaccination regimes are available for three or four doses over a six-month regime [17]. In this study, we have adapted the recommendation of three doses over a six-month regime for our clinical practice.

The hepatitis B vaccine used in this study was the Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) approved H-B-VAX® II hepatitis B recombinant 40 ug in 1 mL (Dialysis formulation), given intramuscularly as per product instruction. The serological response was evaluated six to eight weeks after the completion of this single course of hepatitis B vaccine, which was given intramuscularly at 0, 1, and 6 months. Serology results of HBsAb > 10mIU/mL denote a positive seroconversion [18]. Thus, patients with HBsAb > 10mIU/mL were classified as “Responders” and “Non-responders” for those with HBsAb < 10 mIU/mL in this study.

Serology and biochemical results and information relevant to the study population were obtained from the institution’s medical records and electronic laboratory database.

Factors explored for their effects on the immune response were age (years.), gender (M=male, F=female), diabetes mellitus (DM), cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension (HT), chronic obstructive airway disease (COAD), serum albumin (SA), and erythropoietin stimulating agents (ESA) dependence (Yes/No) at the entry were studied.

Albumin is the most abundant human serum protein and has been used as an indicator of malnutrition [19]. In this study, we used serum albumin <35 g/L as the biomarker, as other studies have shown adverse effects of its indication of malnutrition [19, 20].

2.1. Statistics

Descriptive statistics were used to analyse demographic data (Mean / Standard Deviation (SD)). An independent samples t-test was used to test the significance of the difference in values in the continuous variable, such as age and serum albumin.

The chi-square χ² test was used for categoric variables in gender, DM, CVD, HT, COPD and ESA dependence, as well as serum albumin < 35g/L, which were presented as frequencies and percentages. A p-value of <0.05 is considered as statistically significant. Analyses were performed using the statistical package SPSS, version 28, for Windows (IBM, Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

2.2. Ethics Considerations

Ethics approval for the study was granted by the East Metropolitan Health Service Human Ethics Review Committee, protocol number GS0000005290 and reciprocal ethic approval through the Curtin University Human Research Ethics Committee, protocol number HRE2022-0378.

Eligible adult patients attending the HD unit and Renal Clinic at the centre and available for the study duration were evaluated and consented to the study.

Waiver of Consent was approved for participants who were uncontactable due to natural attrition or relocation to a different address. This followed the criteria set out in Section 2.3.10 of the National Statement of Ethical Conduct in Human Research 2007 -updated 2018 [21].

3. RESULTS

112 Stage 5 CKD patients eligible for the study attended the haemodialysis unit (N = 51) and Predialysis Nurse Practitioner Clinic (n = 61) between Jan 2015 and Dec 2021. Of these, 10 patients (nine HD patients and one Predialysis patient) had HBsAb titres > 10 mIU/mL, and seven patients (3 in HD and 4 in Predialysis CKD cohorts) who died prior to completion of the vaccination schedule were excluded.

Thus, the final 95 patients who had completed the vaccination course at 0, 1 and 6 months, defined as Cohort A (HD; n = 39) and Cohort B (Predialysis; n= 56), were included in the study. The detailed demographics of the Cohort A and Cohort B patients, together with the co-morbidities of DM, CVD, HT, COPD, S Albumin <35 g/L and ESA dependence, are represented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographics and co-morbidities of Cohort A and Cohort B patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cohort A Haemodialysis (HD) Patients (n=39)</th>
<th>Cohort B Pre-dialysis Patients (n=56)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age, years (SD)</td>
<td>65.0 (± 17.0)</td>
<td>68.6 (± 13.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender male, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (53.8)</td>
<td>36 (64.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender female, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (46.2)</td>
<td>20 (35.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (53.8)</td>
<td>35 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (41.0)</td>
<td>24 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (43.6)</td>
<td>32 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD, n (%)</td>
<td>8 (20.5)</td>
<td>14 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S ALB &lt;35 g/L, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (35.9)</td>
<td>10 (17.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA dependence, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (23.1)</td>
<td>13 (23.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DM Diabetes Mellitus, CVD cardiovascular disease, HT hypertension, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, S Alb serum albumin, ESA Erythropoietin stimulating agents.
Table 2. Response to hepatitis B vaccine for Cohort A and Cohort B patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cohort A Haemodialysis (HD) (n=39)</th>
<th>Cohort B Predialysis Patients (n=56)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Non-Responders” (HBsAb&lt;10mIU/mL)</td>
<td>18 (46.2%)</td>
<td>19 (33.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Responders” (HBsAb&gt;10mIU/mL)</td>
<td>21 (53.8%)</td>
<td>37 (66.1%) (p=0.003)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of “Responders”

- Min: 16.9  Max: 14.1
- Quartile 1: 39  Quartile 2: 183.3
- Quartile 3: 663.2  Interquartile range (IQR): 479.9
- Range: 983.1  985.9

Our results showed that 46.2% (n=18) Cohort A patients and 33.9% (n=19) Cohort B patients did not respond to the vaccine (HBsAb < 10 mIU/mL), while the Predialysis patients (Cohort A) had a higher serological response (HBsAb > 10 mIU/mL) than the Cohort B Haemodialysis patients (66.1% versus 53.8%) (p=0.003). The range, median, and Interquartile Range (IQR) of the “Responders” of both Cohort A and Cohort B are further detailed in Table 2.

3.1. Characteristics and Vaccine Response in both Cohorts

Tables 3a and 3b detail the study data in HD and Predialysis cohorts, respectively.

Data in Table 3a demonstrate that serum albumin < 35g/L was significantly associated with non-response versus response in the Haemodialysis Cohort (61.1% Vs 14.3%) (p=0.0023), but this was not observed in the Predialysis CKD Cohort (p=0.054) as in Table 3b.

Similarly, ESA dependence was associated with a significant negative influence in the Predialysis CKD cohort (p=0.001) but not in the Haemodialysis cohort.

Male Gender (84.2% males vs 15.8% females) (p=0.026) CVD (63.2%) (p=0.028) were also associated with suboptimal vaccine response in Predialysis Stage 5 CKD patients.

Other factors, such as age and individual co-morbidities (DM, HT, COPD), had no significant impact on the vaccine response in either the Haemodialysis or Predialysis CKD cohort (p >0.05).

Table 3a. Cohort A HD patients - characteristics and vaccine response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categoric Data</th>
<th>Non-Responders (n=18, 46.2%)</th>
<th>Responders (n=21, 53.8%)</th>
<th>(\chi^2) (df)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.039 (1)</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.189 (1)</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.113 (1)</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.300 (1)</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.082 (1)</td>
<td>0.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESA Dependent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.416 (1)</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Alb &lt;35g/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.235(1)</td>
<td>0.0023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3b. Cohort B Predialysis patients - characteristics and vaccine response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort B Predialysis patients N=56</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Responders (n=19, 33.9%)</td>
<td>Responders (n=37, 66.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category Data</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical data</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S Alb</td>
<td>37.58 ± 4.623</td>
<td>39.30 ± 3.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>71.21 ± 12.268</td>
<td>67.24 ± 13.861</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Abbreviations: DM: Diabetes Mellitus; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HT, hypertension; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ESA, erythropoietin stimulating agents; S Alb, Serum Albumin, SD, standard deviation. Note for P values: Chi-square tests used for categoric variables: Gender, DM, CVD, HT, COPD, ESA Dependent, S Alb <35 g/L t-test, Independent Samples t-test used for numerical data for S Alb, Age * P <0.05, statistically significant.
administration, change of adjuvant and others, with limited success [12]. Thus, the role of non-modifiable patient-related factors was also explored in various studies in the dialysis population [13-15]. We aimed to study these factors in Stage 5 CKD, including those not yet on dialysis. We are not aware of any such reported comparative study evaluating patient-related non-modifiable factors. Limitations of the retrospective design of the study and small population size are acknowledged.

In our study, hypoalbuminemia in the haemodialysis cohort (serum albumin <35g/L, as a surrogate marker of nutritional state [14, 19, 22]) and ESA dependence in the Predialysis cohort were associated with significant negative vaccination response in specific patient groups while male gender and presence of CVD were associated with moderate negative impact in this cohort. Such a differential response warrants further studies in a larger cohort and a prospectively designed trial.

Serum albumin is considered a surrogate biochemical marker for nutrition in CKD [14, 19, 22]. Hypoalbuminemia may relate to increased catabolism, protein loss on dialysis and worsening nutrition in the dialysis cohort. The effect of hypoalbuminemia hampering the differentiation of monocyte-derived cells of the immune system was postulated by Fabrizi et al. [14]. The similar negative impact of malnutrition in the CKD population was also reflected in a meta-analysis conducted by Fabrizi et al. [14]. It is unclear at this stage whether correction of malnutrition will improve the vaccination response in the dialysis cohort. However, improving nutritional status as a strategy to enhance vaccine response in hepatitis B vaccination may be considered in the clinical practice guidelines.

In our study, ESA dependence was a striking factor associated with poor vaccination response in the Predialysis cohort. All previous studies linking it to vaccination response to ESA were done exclusively in the dialysis population, including that by Fabrizi et al. [15] and Afsar [23]. Afsar [23] showed Erythropoietin (EPO) resistance inversely influenced response to the hepatitis B vaccine in 97 HD patients, using the ESA hyporesponsiveness index by dividing weekly EPO dose per kg body weight by haemoglobin level, while Fabrizi et al. [15] found no link between ESA dependence and hepatitis B vaccine response in a meta-analysis of 11 studies in haemodialysis patients.

EPO resistance calculation was unable to be retrieved in this retrospective study. Thus, ESA dependence was studied instead. EPO therapy is a standard treatment for anaemia of chronic kidney failure, and anaemia is common in this population due to EPO secretion deficiency related to the degree of renal failure [24]. The findings of ESA dependence in our predialysis cohort highlighted the factors that warrant EPO, which may also contribute to impaired immune response.

The role of gender (males) with suboptimal response in the Predialysis cohort was explored earlier with the postulation of inhibitory effects of testosterone on immunoglobulin production, as well as restrained production on IL-6 from monocytes described by Kanda et al. [25] as cited in Yang et al. [26]. This gender difference was further supported in a meta-analysis by Khedamat et al. [27] involving 19 clinical trials in the haemodialysis population, showing males significantly respond less to the Hepatitis B vaccine. A similar gender difference was demonstrated in our Predialysis cohort.

On other factors investigated in the current paper, such as age, DM, HT and COAD, we found no significant impact on vaccination response in both Cohort A and Cohort B. Sit et al. [28] and Zitt et al. [16] noted that age has a negative influence on the vaccine response in dialysis patients due to impaired humoral and cellular responses in the elderly patients with bone marrow depression in the ageing process. We did not find that factors such as age, DM, HT, and COAD had any impact on either cohort.

Although we demonstrated CVD negatively influences the vaccine response (P=0.028) in Predialysis patients, there was limited literature on the relationships between CVD and hepatitis B vaccine response.

In a 12-month study on 144 HD patients, Al Saran et al. [29] showed that DM, HT and COAD had no impact on the vaccine response. However, a meta-analysis of 12 studies by Fabrizi et al. [13] demonstrated impaired response in HD patients with DM. The current study involving the predialysis patient cohort showed an unclear role of the DM and provided indication for further studies to investigate the vaccine response affected by the presence of DM in earlier predialysis CKD stage.

**CONCLUSION**

Results of the study showed a statistically significant low vaccine response rate in HD patients as compared to Predialysis patients (53.8% v/s 66.1%) (P=0.003).

Hypoalbuminemia (serum albumin <35g/L) in the HD cohort and gender (male), CVD and ESA dependence in the Predialysis cohort were associated with poor response in our study.

The definitive role of these factors should be considered for enhanced immunological response strategies for hepatitis B vaccination management and extend to earlier stages of chronic kidney failure.
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